PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERN		AL SEARCHIN	G AUTHOR	ITY		Alle.				
Го:						PCT Anslation				
						RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)					
Applica	nt's or a	gent's file reference	ce		FOR FURTHER ACTION					
R.3	0453	35 Gf/Os			See paragraph 2 below					
	-	plication No. 2004/001:	308	International filing date (23.06.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.08.2003				
Internat	ional Pa	tent Classification	(IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC					
Applica		DOCCU C	ATD II							
ROE	SERT	BOSCH G	MBH							
1.	This c	pinion contains in	ndications rela	ting to the following item	s:					
	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion						
	\boxtimes	Box No. II	Priority							
		Box No. III	Non-establis	shment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability				
		Box No. IV	Lack of unit	y of invention	s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial one supporting such statement					
		Box No. V								
		Box No. VI	Certain docu	uments cited						
ļ	\boxtimes	Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international ap	plication					
		Box No. VIII	Certain obse	ervations on the internatio	nal application					
2.		THER ACTION	national preli	minary examination is n	nade this opinion wi	ll be considered to be a written opinion of the				
	Intern than t	ational Preliminar	ry Examining IPEA and the	Authority ("IPEA") excep	ot that this does not ap I the International Bu	ply where the applicant chooses an Authority other eau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of				
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.									
	For fu	rther options, see	Form PCT/IS	A/220.						
3.	For fu	rther details, see i	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.						
<u></u>		11 60	IC A CEP		Authoria-1-66					
Name a	ind mail	ing address of the	19 A/FL	•	Authorized officer					
Facsim	ile No.				Telephone No.					

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was , unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	•	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ntion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional comments:
1		

Bo	c No. I	Priority						
1.	\boxtimes	The following document has not yet been furnished:						
		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).						
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).						
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.						
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3.	3. Additional observations, if necessary:							
l								
ŀ								
1								

Box		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Statement							
	Novelty (N)	Claims	2, 3, 5-7	YES				
		Claims	1, 4	NO				
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES				
		Claims	1-7	NO				
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-7	YES				
		Claims		NO				
l								

- 2. Citations and explanations:
 - 1 This report makes reference to the following documents:
 - D1: EP 0 798 515 A
 - D2: DE 10020328 A
 - 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
 - 2.1 Document D1 discloses (the references between parentheses apply to this document) a sheathed-element glow plug (1) for an internal combustion engine consisting of a plug housing (2), a connection part for the electrical current that is located on said plug housing, and a tube (3) comprising an embedded heating element (8), wherein the connection part and the heating element are electrically coupled by means of a connection bolt (5a, 11) and a contact element (6), characterised in that the connection bolt and the contact element are partially surrounded by an electrically insulating plastic sleeve (4) inside the housing (2) (see column 2, lines (39-44).
 - 2.2 The present application does not therefore meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/001308

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

matter of claim 1 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-7

The additional features of dependent claims 2-7 are already known:

- -for claim 2, see D2,
- -for claims 3, 5, see D2, column 7, lines 14-20 and figures 1, 5,
- for claim 4, see D1, column 2, lines 42-44,
- -for claims 6,7, see D2, column 6, line 61-column 7, line 13.

4 GENERAL REMARKS

- 4.1 The passage "wherein the connection region and the heating element" in claim 1 should read "wherein the connection part and the heating element."
- 4.2 Claims 1 and 2 do not contain any basis for the back-reference "said casing" in claim 3. The indefinite article "a casing" should be used.
- 4.3 It cannot currently be seen what portion of the application could form the basis for a new, allowable claim. If the applicant nevertheless considers an individual subject matter as patentable, then he should submit an independent claim directed to this subject matter written in conformity with PCT Rule 6.3(b). The response should indicate both the difference between the

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

Box	No. V	Re cit	asoned statem ations and exp	ent und lanation	er Rule ns suppe	43bis 1(a orting su	a)(i) with reg ch statement	ard to no	ovelty, in	ventive step	or indust	trial applicability;
	sub		matter						the	prior	art	(D1)
	and	the	import	ance	e of	this	s diffe	erend	ce.			

Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
The following d	efects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: